

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIZED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unized States Ratent and Trademark Office Admission Communication of Communication (Communication of Communication of Comm

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,874	12/31/2001	Guy Roberts	US010686	6434
24737	7590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCHEE MANOR NY 10510			LUU, SY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2174	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summan	10/038,874	ROBERTS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sy D. Luu	2174		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 May 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.00.011 01 1011111 1 0-102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
•				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/11/04</u> .	6) Other:	1		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, specifically a signal. Computer signals are not physical "things," nor are they statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed elements do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the signal and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the signal's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer - readable medium encoded with computer signals defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the medium which permit the signal's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See MPEP §2106 Section IV.B.1(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2174

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maissel et al. ("Maissel", US 6,637,029 B1).

As per claims 6 and 9-10, Maissel teach a content reception system comprising:

a input receiving content and information items regarding the content, wherein the information items form a search pool; and a display controller receiving search results from a search on the search pool and generating a plurality of graphical elements representative of each available item within a search pool, a graphical feature of each graphical element depending upon a relevance of the associated item to specified criteria, wherein the graphical element is updated in response to any change to the specified criteria or the search pool, wherein the graphical element is updated whenever the specified criteria are changed by either adding; deleting or modifying a search query element or updating a user profile, and wherein the graphical element is updated whenever an item is added or deleted from the search pool (Abstract; fig. 9D; col. 3, lines 1-8 and 36 et seq.; col. 6, lines 20 et seq.; col. 12, lines 46 et seq.).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,874

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 8, Maissel teach one or more graphical elements are representative of multiple items within the search pool and serving as a user control triggering expanded display of additional graphical elements each representative of a subset of the multiple items (col. 20, lines 60 - col. 21, line 8).

Claims 1 and 3-5 are individually similar in scope to claims 6 and 8-10 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11 and 13-15 are individually similar in scope to claims 6 and 8-10 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 16 and 18-20 are individually similar in scope to claims 6 and 8-10 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 7, 12, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maissel et al. ("Maissel", US 6,637,029 B1) in view of Czerwinski et al. ("Czerwinski", US 6,243,093).

Maissel teaches all of the limitations as applied to claim 6 above. However, Maissel does not teach the graphical feature of each graphical element depending upon the relevance of the

associated item to specified criteria further comprises one of a size of the graphical element or a perceived proximity of the graphical element to a viewer. Czerwinski teaches a method for providing a graphical feature of graphical elements in a user interface, wherein depending upon the relevance of associated items to specified criteria, graphical elements are affected by one of a size, and a perceived proximity of the graphical element to a viewer (Abstract; fig. 14). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Czerwinski's teaching with the system of Maissel in order to provide a visual feedback to a user of the degree of relevancy according to the user's preferences.

Claims 2, 12, 17 are individually similar in scope to claim 7, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:300 am to 4:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/038,874

Art Unit: 2174

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

ART UNIT 2174

SDL: 5/1/06